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### 1.0 Executive Summary

The North Carolina Turnpike Authority (NCTA) Maintenance Rating Program (MRP) is a maintenance evaluation program for roadway features and toll facilities on the NCTA system. This report presents results from the 2021 Third Quarter Assessment of the Triangle Expressway.

The overall 2021 Third quarter maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was 93.0, above the NCTA target rating of 90. As shown in Table 1, all five elements assessed achieved a rating greater than the target rating of 85 .

Table 1: MRP Element Results for the 2021 Third Quarter Assessment

| Element | MRP Rating | Target Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Surface | $100.0^{1}$ | 85.0 |
| Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches | 96.8 | 85.0 |
| Drainage | 92.5 | 85.0 |
| Roadside | 91.6 | 85.0 |
| Traffic Control Devices | $86.5^{1}$ | 85.0 |
| Overall MRP Performance Rating | $93.0^{1}$ | 90.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes asphalt surface pavement condition as well as markers, striping, and symbols on mainline NC-147 and all ramps/loops.

This report also provides a rolling rating of the latest four quarterly inspections of the Triangle Expressway. As presented in Table 2, the rolling maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was 92.1.

Table 2: MRP Rolling Element Results

| Element | $\mathrm{O}_{4} 2020$ Rating | O1 2021 <br> Rating | O2 2021 Rating | $Q_{3} 2021$ Rating | Rolling Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Surface | $96.2^{1}$ | $90.5^{1}$ | $96.8{ }^{1}$ | $100.0^{1}$ | $96.1^{2}$ |
| Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches | 98.8 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 96.8 | 98.5 |
| Drainage | 87.6 | 88.6 | 94.0 | 92.5 | 90.7 |
| Roadside | 89.1 | 90.6 | 95.5 | 91.6 | 91.7 |
| Traffic Control Devices | $85.2^{1}$ | $82.2^{1}$ | $92.5{ }^{1}$ | $86.5^{1}$ | $86.9{ }^{2}$ |
| Overall MRP Performance Rating | $90.7^{1}$ | $88.9{ }^{1}$ | $95.2^{1}$ | $93.0^{1}$ | $92.1{ }^{2}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes asphalt surface pavement condition as well as markers, striping, and symbols on mainline NC-147 and all ramps/loops.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes quarter ratings for elements listed above.

In addition, the report provides findings of the Green Level Historic District signs inspection. This quarter, two signs were inspected. Both signs were found to be in good physical condition, and the landscaped areas around the signs were maintained in accordance with NCTA MRP standards.

### 2.0 Introduction

The NCTA MRP is a comprehensive planning, measuring, and managing process that provides a means for communicating to managers, stakeholders and customers the impacts of policy and budget decisions on program service delivery.

Using outcome-based performance measures and the service level scale (o through 100), the inspection results are rated against established thresholds criteria. The program analysis is accomplished using sampling procedures that capture the level of service being provided for individual assets. The evaluation procedure is based on the establishment of threshold conditions that quantify the maximum defect allowed on assets. Overtime, the results can be charted to identify work needs and subsequent necessary actions.

The NCTA performance standards, threshold criteria, and maintenance rating program were developed through a collaborative effort by NCTA managers, NCDOT maintenance staff, and consultants.

Using field survey information, a maintenance matrix can be developed to show the ties between maintenance activities and the characteristics of various roadway features. The purpose of this evaluation is to provide information that can be used to schedule and prioritize routine maintenance activities and provide uniform maintenance conditions that meet established objectives.

### 3.0 MRP Procedure

Per the NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Performance Standards V6, roadway assets or characteristics on NCTA facilities have been grouped into elements. These elements and corresponding characteristics are shown in Figure 1:

Figure 1: Maintenance Elements and Characteristics


A weighting system has been established to identify the importance of each element and characteristic. This system consists of two weighting factors: one that accounts for the importance of individual characteristics within a given maintenance element (1-9), and one that accounts for the importance of the maintenance elements to the total rating (by \% of score). This two-factor system reveals deficiencies among characteristics and elements.

The program analysis is accomplished using statistically valid, random sampling proceduresthat capture the level of service for individual characteristics, with a $95 \%$ confidence level in sampling. The sample characteristics selected are evaluated during quarterly inspections, which are performed during the months of February, May, August, and Novemberto account for dynamic changes in assets during the various seasons. The evaluation process is completed using electronic data collection tablets and is based on established threshold conditions described in the NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Standards V6. Those characteristics that meet or exceed the threshold are coded as PASSING; those that do not meet the threshold are coded as NOTPASSING.

When the evaluation process is completed, the number of PASSING samples and total sample are multiplied by the weighted values (1-9) to determine the actual and possible rating points for characteristics and elements. MRP ratings for elements and characteristics are then calculated as the ratio of the actual rating points to possible rating points. The MRP ratings represent the maintenance level of service currently being provided, as they define the percent of characteristics and elements that meet the maintenance condition standard. For instance, an MRP rating of 83 signifies that 83 percent of the inspected elements/characteristics met the standard.

The overall MRP rating is determined by calculating the sum of the elements' ratings multiplied by the following weighted factors:

| Road Surface $=$ | $25 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Unpaved Shoulders $=$ | $13 \%$ |
| Drainage $=$ | $15 \%$ |
| Roadside $=$ | $17 \%$ |
| Traffic Control Devices $=$ | $30 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ |

The NCTA's overall target rating is 90 , with elements scoring 85 or higher, and characteristics 80 or higher. In addition to quarterly ratings, the cumulative rolling annual rating is calculated each quarter. This rating is obtained by adding the ratings of the latest four quarterly inspections to compensate for the likelihood of uneven sample sizes.

### 4.0 Triangle Expressway Description

The Triangle Expressway extends for approximately 18.8 miles from the interchange of $\mathrm{I}-40$ and Toll NC-147 in Durham to the NC-55 Bypass near Holly Springs (Figure 2). It includes a one-mile segment on Toll NC-540 extending north from the Toll NC-540 / Toll NC-147 interchange to the NC-54 interchange. The Triangle Expressway consists of twelve interchanges and twenty-two all-electronic toll collection zones.

Figure 2: Triangle Expressway Map


### 5.0 Triangle Expressway Asset Inventory Update

Through normal day-to-day maintenance activities and the construction of special projects, roadside assets are continuously being added or modified on the roadway. NCTA coordinates closely with NCDOT Division 5 Maintenance and conducts routine field visits to maintain an accurate asset inventory and ensure the validity of the MRP survey.

During this quarter assets on Toll NC 540 exit ramps to and from NC-55 Bypass were removed from the inventory due to the Complete 540 construction project. Table 3 presents the updated number of assets that are currently available for inspections.

Table 3: Asset Inventory

| Assets | Total Inventory | 2021 Eligible <br> Inventory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barriers | 799 | 627 |
| Curb and Gutter | 428 | 397 |
| Decorative Supports | 305 | 298 |
| Drainage | 1179 | 1131 |
| Misc. Drainage | 211 | 200 |
| Fences | 508 | 484 |
| Highway Lighting | 435 | 431 |
| Impact Attenuators | 47 | 45 |
| Inlets | 1126 | 1080 |
| Linear Segments | 795 | 737 |
| Plant Beds | 266 | 261 |
| Paved Ditches | 2 | 593 |
| Pavement Symbols | 652 | 1169 |
| Signs | 1221 | 569 |
| Tree and Brush | 603 | 978 |
| Turf | 1074 | 84 |
| Walls | 88 |  |

### 6.0 MRP Third Quarter Assessment

### 6.1 Quarterly Results

The overall 2021 third quarter maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was 93.0, above NCTA's target overall rating of 90. All elements assessed achieved quarter ratings above the target rating of 85 established for element groups. Furthermore, all characteristics achieved a quarter score above the target rating of 80 .

Last year, NCTA let a resurfacing project to improve asphalt pavement condition as well as the condition of pavement striping, characters, symbols, and markers on mainline NC-147 and asphalt surface ramps/loops throughout Triangle Expressway. Due to this ongoing project, the Paved Lanes Asphalt, Paved Shoulder, Pavement Striping, Pavement Characters and Symbols, and Pavement Markers characteristics were only inspected on pavement surfaces not included in the resurfacing project contract. Once this project is completed, the characteristics rating for the entire facility will resume and will be included in the Road Surface element rating, Traffic Control Devices element rating and overall scoring.

It is important to note that these results are only representative of the second quarter sample, one of the four surveys to provide an intermediate snapshot of seasonal conditions. Therefore, they are not a statistically valid representation of the assets; only the total of all four quarterly inspections, reported as the rolling rating, provide a 95\% confidence level in statistical sampling. The second quarter MRP performance ratings for elements and characteristics are presented in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively.

Table 4: MRP Element Results for O3 2021

| Element | MRP Rating |
| :---: | :---: |
| Road Surface | $100.0^{1}$ |
| Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches | 96.8 |
| Drainage | 92.5 |
| Roadside | 91.6 |
| Traffic Control Devices | $86.5^{1}$ |
| Overall MRP Performance Rating | $93.0^{1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes asphalt surface pavement condition as well as markers, striping, and symbols on mainline NC-147 and all ramps/loops.

Table 5: MRP Characteristics Results for O3 2021

| Road Surface | Sample Passed | Sample Total | Weighted Values | Actual Pts | Available Pts | Q3 Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paved Lanes Asphalt | 4 | 4 | 9 | 36 | 36 | $100{ }^{1}$ |
| Paved Lanes Concrete | 29 | 29 | 9 | 261 | 261 | 100 |
| Paved Shoulder | 33 | 33 | 5 | 165 | 165 | $100{ }^{1}$ |
| Element Total |  |  |  | 462 | 462 | $100.0^{1}$ |
| Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches | Sample Passed | Sample Total | Weighted Values | Actual Pts | Available Pts | Q3 Rating |
| Unpaved Shoulder | 37 | 40 | 9 | 333 | 360 | 93 |
| Front/Back Slopes | 40 | 40 | 6 | 240 | 240 | 100 |
| Lateral and Outfall Ditches, Unpaved | 40 | 40 | 6 | 240 | 240 | 100 |
| Ditches, Paved | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 100 |
| Element Total |  |  |  | 823 | 850 | 96.8 |
| Drainage | Sample <br> Passed | Sample Total | Weighted Values | Actual Pts | Available Pts | Q3 Rating |
| Drainage Pipes | 29 | 32 | 7 | 203 | 224 | 91 |
| Curb and Gutter | 29 | 29 | 6 | 174 | 174 | 100 |
| Inlets | 36 | 38 | 7 | 252 | 266 | 95 |
| Misc. Drainage Structure | 24 | 30 | 4 | 96 | 120 | 80 |
| Element Total |  |  |  | 725 | 784 | 92.5 |
| Roadside | Sample Passed | Sample Total | Weighted Values | Actual Pts | Available Pts | Q3 Rating |
| Turf Condition | 44 | 59 | 7 | 308 | 413 | 75 |
| Landscaping | 26 | 26 | 4 | 104 | 104 | 100 |
| Trees and Brush | 32 | 32 | 4 | 128 | 128 | 100 |
| Litter | 38 | 40 | 4 | 152 | 160 | 95 |
| Roadway Sweeping | 40 | 40 | 5 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
| Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors | 31 | 32 | 9 | 279 | 288 | 97 |
| Impact Attenuators | 9 | 9 | 9 | 81 | 81 | 100 |
| Fence, Control Access | 27 | 29 | 7 | 189 | 203 | 93 |
| Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls | 11 | 16 | 5 | 55 | 80 | 69 |
| Decorative Supports | 27 | 27 | 5 | 135 | 135 | 100 |
| Graffiti and Stain Removal | 43 | 44 | 4 | 172 | 176 | 98 |
| Element Total |  |  |  | 1803 | 1968 | 91.6 |
| Traffic Control Devices | Sample Passed | Sample Total | Weighted Values | Actual Pts | Available Pts | Q3 Rating |
| Signs | 28 | 32 | 7 | 196 | 224 | 88 |
| Delineators | 32 | 40 | 3 | 96 | 120 | 80 |
| Pavement Striping/Marking | 23 | 33 | 8 | 184 | 264 | $70^{1}$ |
| Words and Symbols | 26 | 30 | 7 | 182 | 210 | $87^{1}$ |
| Pavement Markers | 33 | 33 | 9 | 297 | 297 | $100{ }^{1}$ |
| Highway Lighting | 38 | 42 | 6 | 228 | 252 | 90 |
| Element Total |  |  |  | 1183 | 1367 | $86.5{ }^{1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes asphalt surface pavement condition as well as markers, striping, and symbols on mainline $\mathrm{NC}-147$ and all ramps/loops.

Additionally, Appendix $\boldsymbol{A}$ includes maps that present the location of all assets assessed during the third quarter. Appendix $B$ includes a list of the individual assets that did not achieve theirtarget ratings.

### 6.2 Quarterly Analysis and Recommendations

## Elements

During the third quarter, all elements exceeded NCTA's quarter score threshold criteria of 85. All elements except for Traffic Control Devices received a quarter score above 90.

Road Surface (100.0) experienced an increase in rolling rating 1.0 point higher than the previous quarter's rolling rating. Asphalt resurfacing is ongoing and scheduled to be complete in September of this year.

Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches(96.8) experienced a slight decrease in rolling rating. The rating for this element was 0.1 points lower than the previous quarter rolling rating. All characteristics within this element continued scoring above 90 .

Drainage (92.5) experienced an increase in rolling rating of o. 8 points. Both Drainage Pipes (91) and Misc. Drainage Pipes (80) rolling ratings decreased from last quarter though continued scoring above the target rating.

Roadside (91.8) decreased 0.2 points from the previous quarter's rolling rating. Turf Condition (75) and Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls (69) both experienced decreases in rolling ratings.

Traffic Control Devices (86.5) experienced a 0.6 point increase in rolling rating from the previous quarter. Pavement Striping/Marking (70) and Delineators (80) characteristic rolling ratings both decreased from last quarter rolling rating. Repaving and subsequent striping of all asphalt lanes is scheduled to be complete in Fall 2021.

Recommendations to improve specific critical characteristic ratings are provided in the following sections.

## Characteristics

This quarter, all but three characteristics, Turf Condition (75), Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls (69), and Pavement Striping/Marking (70), met the NCTA target threshold criteria of 80. A description of the characteristics' conditions and future work planning recommendations are provided below. Pictures of all characteristic failures are included in Appendix B.

Turf Condition ( 75 rating -44 of the 59 assets passed): All 15 of the turf sections that did not pass inspection were due to bare ground. Two of the sections that did not pass inspection are presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Turf Condition Inspection Results Sample


To continue to improve the Turf Condition rating, it is recommended that the maintenance provider continue with an aggressive schedule for seeding and fertilization cycles of bare ground areas during the spring and early summer. Some areas will require scarifying of the soil as these areas are heavily compacted and inundated with aggregate.

Turf Maintenance Program:

1) Roadside mowing should occur as often as necessary to always conform to the evaluation standard. Mowing shall be in accordance with the NCTA approved mowing patterns and must not exceed the mowing lines identified by the approved stakes. These stakes are identified with a 15-inch white top. The maintenance provider shall review and confirm clarity to the NCTA (in writing) for strict adherence to the approved mowing pattern prior to each mowing season.
2) Turf grass shall be cut to a height of six inches (6) with a maximum tolerance of two (2) inches plus or minus.
3) Maintain roadway mowing 5 feet behind guardrail, unless otherwise specified by landscaping stakes.
4) Where landscaping has been established, or around the natural enhancement areas, mowing shall conform to the established contours with smooth flowing transitions.
5) Roadside trimming shall occur around all traffic appurtenances including, but not limited to guardrail, signposts, light poles, and ITS device poles.
6) Chemical applications:
a. Winter:
i. Apply limestone.
ii. Apply fertilizer.
b. Spring:
i. Apply pre- and post- emergent broadleaf weed control in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations in April.
ii. Bare ground areas shall be scheduled for seeding as necessary.
c. Fall:
i. Apply post-emergence herbicides to select locations in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations in August.
ii. Bare ground areas shall be seeded in the fall as needed.

## Turf Maintenance and Evaluation Standards:

Turf does not meet the maintenance standards when any of the following criteria is observed:

1) More than $25 \%$ of the undesirable vegetation is present within the mowing limits of the area.
2) Noxious weeds present.
3) More than 50 cumulative SF of bare ground is present in the turf evaluation area.

Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls ( 69 rating - 11 of the 16 assets passed): Out of the 5 retaining wall and sound barrier wall structuresthat did not pass inspection, 4 had unsealed joints and 1 exhibited spalling and unwanted vegetation growth. Two of the wall segments that did not pass inspection are presented in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Retaining and Sound Barrier Walls Inspection Results Sample


In accordance with NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Standards V6, referenced below, it is recommended that the maintenance provider plan for and schedule joint repairs within the annual work program and that unwanted vegetation is removed upon observation.

Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Maintenance Program Standards:

1) Walls shall be inspected during daily patrols.
2) Unwanted vegetation and graffiti (see graffiti standard) shall be scheduled for removal.
3) Minor wall or column damage shall be scheduled for repair within the annual work program.
4) Staining damage shall be scheduled for repair within the annual work program.
5) Any structural damage that poses a safety risk shall be scheduled immediately upon observation. Mitigate any safety hazard upon observation.

Standards Maintenance and Evaluation Standards:
MSE/retaining walls, sound barrier walls, and screen walls do not meet the maintenance standards when any of the following criteria is observed:

1) More than $10 \%$ of exposed surface is covered with unwanted vegetation.
2) Any single spall 1 inch deep or greater or cumulative spalls in excess of 1 inch deep over 5 SF.
3) More than $25 \%$ of weep holes within the sample section are not functioning properly.
4) Unsealed cracks or joints greater than 0.25 inches in width.

Pavement Striping (70 rating - 23 of the 33 assets passed): There were 10 pavement striping/marking segments that did not pass inspection, these segments were identified as missing more than $10 \%$ of any line segment in the sections, not meeting the required line width, or not reflecting during the nighttime inspection. Two of the pavement striping and marking segments that did not pass inspection are presented in Figure5.

Figure 5: Pavement Striping Inspection Results Sample


To maintain a well-defined lane delineation throughout the Triangle Expressway, it is recommended that the maintenance provider considerscheduling pavement striping/marking replacement cycles in accordance with the NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Standards V6, referenced below.

## Maintenance Program:

1) Pavement striping is observed daily and inspected every 4 months for compliance to the standard.
2) Worn or missing markings are evaluated for compliance annually, and generally are scheduled on a 3-to-5-year replacement cycle depending on the material.

## Maintenance and Evaluation Standards:

Pavement Striping/Marking does not meet the maintenance standards when any of the following criteria is observed:

1) More than $10 \%$ of the length of any line is less than 5.4 inches wide.
2) More than $10 \%$ of each line is not visible at a distance of 160 feet during nighttime observation.
3) More than $10 \%$ of the length of any line is missing.
4) More than $10 \%$ of the length of any line is covered by soil, grass, or debris.

Pavement striping/markings were last installed along Toll NC-540 in the Summer of 2018. Pavement striping/markings were included in the asphalt pavement resurfacing contract which is to be completed in Fall 2021. Replacement of concrete pavement striping and snowplowable pavement markers is scheduled to be performed in Spring 2022.

### 7.0 Current Rolling MRP Rating

The rolling maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was 92.1, exceeding NCTA's target overall rating of 90. All elements exceeded NCTA's rolling rating threshold criteria of 85 . Forty-five of the forty-eight characteristic ratings met orexceeded the target rating of 80 .

The 2020/2021 results are presented in Exhibit 1 and Table 6. These results are a collection of the four quarterly inspections conducted in the last year.

## Exhibit 1: MRP Element Results for 2020/2021



Table 6: MRP Rolling Element Results

| Road Surface | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q4 } 2020 \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Q1 } 2021$ <br> Rating | $\text { Q2 } 2021$ <br> Rating | O3 2021 <br> Rating | Rolling <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paved Lanes Asphalt | $100{ }^{1}$ | $88^{1}$ | $95^{1}$ | $100{ }^{1}$ | $94^{2}$ |
| Paved Lanes Concrete | 96 | 84 | 95 | 100 | 95 |
| Paved Shoulder | $96^{1}$ | $100^{1}$ | $100{ }^{1}$ | $100^{1}$ | $99^{2}$ |
| Element Total | $96.2^{1}$ | $90.5{ }^{1}$ | $96.8{ }^{1}$ | $100.0^{1}$ | 96.1 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q4 } 2020 \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Q1 } 2021$ <br> Rating | $\text { Q2 } 2021$ <br> Rating | $\text { Q3 } 2021$ <br> Rating | Rolling Rating |
| Unpaved Shoulder | 97 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 97 |
| Front/Back Slopes | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 99 |
| Lateral and Outfall Ditches, Unpaved | 100 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 99 |
| Ditches, Paved | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Element Total | 98.8 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 96.8 | 98.5 |
| Drainage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q4 } 2020 \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q1 } 2021 \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Q2 } 2021$ Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q3 } 2021 \\ \text { Rating } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rolling Rating |
| Drainage Pipes | 88 | 88 | 97 | 91 | 91 |
| Curb and Gutter | 93 | 93 | 100 | 100 | 96 |
| Inlets | 86 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 92 |
| Misc. Drainage Structure | 83 | 75 | 83 | 80 | 80 |
| Element Total | 87.6 | 88.6 | 94.0 | 92.5 | 90.7 |
| Roadside | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q4 } 2020 \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { O1 } 2021$ <br> Rating | $\text { O2 } 2021$ <br> Rating | $\text { Q3 } 2021$ <br> Rating | Rolling Rating |
| Turf Condition | 62 | 81 | 91 | 75 | 77 |
| Landscaping | 92 | 85 | 96 | 100 | 93 |
| Trees and Brush | 97 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 98 |
| Litter | 97 | 88 | 98 | 95 | 94 |
| Roadway Sweeping | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors | 97 | 97 | 93 | 97 | 96 |
| Impact Attenuators | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Fence, Control Access | 90 | 80 | 91 | 93 | 88 |
| Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls | 93 | 94 | 94 | 69 | 87 |
| Decorative Supports | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Graffiti and Stain Removal | 93 | 95 | 100 | 98 | 97 |
| Element Total | 89.1 | 90.6 | 95.5 | 91.6 | 91.7 |
| Traffic Control Devices | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q4 } 2020 \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { O1 } 2021$ Rating | $\text { O2 } 2021$ <br> Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q3 } 2021 \\ \text { Rating } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rolling Rating |
| Signs | 94 | 89 | 91 | 88 | 91 |
| Delineators | 88 | 75 | 93 | 80 | 84 |
| Pavement Striping/Marking | $65^{1}$ | $74^{1}$ | $90^{1}$ | $70^{1}$ | $76^{2}$ |
| Words and Symbols | $87^{1}$ | $81^{1}$ | $86^{1}$ | $87^{1}$ | $85^{2}$ |
| Pavement Markers | $96^{1}$ | $93^{1}$ | $10{ }^{1}$ | $100{ }^{1}$ | $98^{2}$ |
| Highway Lighting | 78 | 78 | 93 | 90 | 85 |
| Element Total | $85.2^{1}$ | $82.2^{1}$ | $92.5{ }^{1}$ | $86.5{ }^{1}$ | $86.9{ }^{2}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Excludes asphalt surface pavement condition as well as markers, striping, and symbols on mainline $\mathrm{NC}-147$ and all ra ${ }^{2}$ Excludes the indicated quarter ratings for characteristics listed above. |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.0 Green Level Historic District Signs

Green Level Historic District signs and surrounding landscaped areas were installed as part of the Triangle Expressway construction project. Currently, NCDOT is maintaining the Green Level Historic District Signs and the Town of Cary is providing maintenance to the landscaped areas surrounding these signs.

### 8.1 Analysis and Recommendations

As part of each quarterly inspection, an assessment team visits the two remaining Green Level Historic District signs to conduct a visual inspection of each sign and ensure they are in good standing. The two signs included in the inspection inventory were found to be in good condition. One of the two landscaped areas were well maintained while the other appeared overgrown, partially obscuring the sign text. Figure 6 shows the two signs assessed.

Figure 6: Green Level West Historic District Signs, Landscape Areas


### 9.0 Conclusion

This report presents the 2021 second quarter assessment of the Triangle Expressway. The NCTA's target ratings are 90 for the rolling rating, 90 for the overall quarter rating, 85 for elements, and 80 for characteristics. The third quarter 2021 overall rating was 93.0 and the rolling rating was 92.1 , both ratings met the target rating of go.

All element ratings were above the target ratings for the quarter and rolling assessment. During the third quarter assessment, all but three characteristics met or exceeded the target rating of 80. The characteristics that received quarter scores less than 80 includes Turf Condition (75), Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls (69), and Pavement Striping/Marking (70). Repaving of asphalt surfaces, which includes the replacement of Pavement Markers, Pavement Striping, and Words/Symbols, began in 2020 and is set to be completed in Fall 2021.

To maintain/improve the condition ratings, it is recommended that the pavement striping/marking replacement cycles are completed as planned in the capital budget. Replacement of pavement striping/marking is scheduled to be performed in Spring 2022. Also, bare areas seeding/fertilization program efforts should continue during the winter and spring seasons to promote new turf growth.

This quarter, the two Green Level Historic District signs inspected were found to be in good condition. The landscaped area surrounding one of the two signs was found to be overgrown and in need of maintenance. The landscape area around the other sign on Green Level Church Road was found to be well maintained.

## Appendix A

Triangle Expressway 2021 Third Quarter Asset Assessment Locations

## Appendix A: Triangle Expressway 2021 Third Quarter Asset Assessment Locations

Provided below are a series of maps outlining the assets that were a part of this quarter's sample and their corresponding result. Assets are defined by an Inventory ID, which is a unique identifier given to each individual asset. The components that make up the Inventory ID are an asset specific prefix along with a number, such as LS_1. All assets and their respective prefixes are listed below:

- Guardrail, Concrete Barrier and End Anchors - BR
- Curb and Gutter-CG
- Decorative Supports - DS
- Drainage Pipes - DP
- Misc. Drainage Structures - MDP
- Fence and Control of Access -FN
- Graffiti- GF
- Highway Lighting-HL
- Impact Attenutators-IA
- Inlets-IN
- Landscaping-PB
- Linear Samples - LS
o Paved Lanes-Asphalt
o Paved Lanes-Concrete
o Paved Shoulders
o Unpaved Shoulders
o Front/Back Slopes
o Unpaved Lateraland Outfall Ditches
o Litter
o Roadway Sweeping
o Pavement Striping/Markings
o Pavement Markers
o Delineators
- Paved Ditches - PD
- Pavement Words and Symbols - PS
- Signs - SN
- Tree and Brush - TB
- Turf Condition -TF
- MSE/Retaining Walls, Sound Barrier Walls, and Screen Walls - WL
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| Legend |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Failing Asset | - | north carolina |
| Passing Asset | * | A14 |
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| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Legend | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Failing Asset |  | north carolina |
| Passing Asset |  | A31 |
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## Appendix B: Triangle Expressway 2021 Third Quarter Table Results of Assets Failing MRP

Provided below are a series of tables outlining the existing failures that occurred throughout the facility.Assets are defined by an Inventory ID, which is a unique identifier given to each individual asset. Thecomponents that make up the Inventory ID are an asset specific prefix along with a number, such asLS_1. The Inventory ID and GIS Reference Page number correspond to the provided map packets andallow for quick location of particular asset failures. Photos offailures were provided when applicable.
All assets and their respective prefixes are listed below:
Guardrail, Concrete Barrier and End Anchors (BR). ..... 1
Curb and Gutter (CG) ..... 2
Decorative Supports (DS) ..... 3
Drainage Pipes (DP) ..... 4
Misc. Drainage Structure (MDP) ..... 5
Fence and Control of Access (FN) ..... 7
Graffiti (GR) ..... 8
Highway Lighting (HL) ..... 9
Impact Attenuators (IA) ..... 10
Inlets (IN) ..... 11
Landscaping (PB) ..... 12
Paved Lanes - Asphalt (LS). ..... 13
Paved Lanes - Concrete (LS) ..... 13
Paved Shoulders (LS) ..... 13
Unpaved Shoulders (LS) ..... 14
Front/Back Slopes (LS) ..... 15
Unpaved Lateral and Outfall Ditches (LS) ..... 15
Litter (LS) ..... 16
Roadway Sweeping (LS) ..... 16
Pavement Striping (LS) ..... 17
Pavement Markers (LS) ..... 20
Delineators (LS) ..... 21
Delineators(LS) ..... 22
Paved Ditches (PD) ..... 23
Pavement Words and Symbols (PS) ..... 24
Signs (SN) ..... 25
Tree and Brush (TB) ..... 26
Turf Condition (TF) ..... 27
MSE/Retaining Walls, Sound Barrier Walls, and Screen Walls (WL) ..... 31

Guardrail, Concrete Barrier and End Anchors (BR)

| \#Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | FailureType |  | Photo | GIS <br> Reference <br> Page |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Guardrail | BR_230 | Functional Damage |  |  |  |

Curb and Gutter (CG)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | GIS |
| :---: |
| Reference |
| Page |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Decorative Supports(DS)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | GIS |
| :---: |
| Reference |
| Page |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Drainage Pipes (DP)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | $\begin{gathered} \text { GIS } \\ \text { Reference } \\ \text { Page } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Drain | DP_236 | Obstruction |  | A11 |
| 2 | Drain | DP_432 | Obstruction |  | A5 |
| 3 | Drain | DP_992 | Obstruction |  | A25 |

Misc. Drainage Structure (MDP)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Shoulder Drain | MDP_32 | Obstruction |  | A11 |
| 2 | Shoulder Drain | MDP_36 | Obstruction |  | A11 |
| 3 | Shoulder Drain | MDP_55 | Rodent Screen |  | A13 |
| 4 | Shoulder Drain | MDP_93 | Obstruction |  | A18 |

Misc. Drainage Structure (MDP)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Shoulder Drain | MDP_151 | Rodent Screen |  | A35 |
| 6 | Shoulder Drain | MDP_232 | Rodent Screen |  | A10 |

Fence and Control of Access (FN)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Woven | FN_43 | Fence Hole |  | A 29 |
| 2 | Woven | FN_350 | Fence Hole |  | A20 |



Highway Lighting (HL)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | High Mast | HL_217 | Rodent Screen |  | A2 |
| 2 | Single Roadway | HL_347 | Missing Parts |  | A2 |
| 3 | High Mast | HL_367 | Rodent Screen |  | A24 |
| 4 | Single Roadway | HL_395 | Missing Parts |  | A25 |


| Impact Attenuators (IA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo | Reference <br> Page |  |  |  |  |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Inlets (IN)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Inlet | IN_53 | Obstruction |  | A12 |
| 2 | Inlet | IN_360 | Obstruction |  | A2 |

Landscaping (PB)

\# | Material |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | | Object |
| :---: |
| ID |$\quad$ Failure Type $\quad$ Photo | GIS |
| :---: |
| Reference |
| Page |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Paved Lanes - Asphalt (LS)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | GIS <br> Reference <br> Page |
| :---: |
|  |
| Paved Lanes | Concrete (LS) | This asset did not produce any failures. |
| :---: |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Paved Shoulders (LS)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \text { \# } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Material } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Object } \\ \text { ID }\end{array} & \text { Failure Type } & \text { Photo }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { GIS } \\ \text { Reference } \\ \text { Page }\end{array}\right]$

This asset did not produce any failures.

## Unpaved Shoulders (LS)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Concrete | LS_419 | Elevation Deviation |  | A21 |
| 2 | Concrete | LS_450 | Drop Off |  | A24 |
| 3 | Concrete | LS_620 | Drop Off |  | A18 |

Front/Back Slopes (LS)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \text { \# } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Material } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Object } \\ \text { ID }\end{array} & \text { Failure Type } & \text { Photo }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { GIS } \\ \text { Reference } \\ \text { Page }\end{array}\right]$

This asset did not produce any failures.

Unpaved Lateral and Outfall Ditches (LS)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \text { \# } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Material } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Object } \\ \text { ID }\end{array} & \text { Failure Type } & \text { Photo }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { GIS } \\ \text { Reference } \\ \text { Page }\end{array}\right]$

This asset did not produce any failures.

## Litter (LS)



Roadway Sweeping (LS)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | GIS |
| :---: |
| Reference |
| Page |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Pavement Striping(LS)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Concrete | LS_41 | Line Missing, Nighttime Visibility |  | Ag |
| 2 | Concrete | LS_68 | Line Missing |  | A8 |
| 3 | Concrete | LS_94 | Line Missing, Nighttime Visibility |  | A13 |
| 4 | Concrete | LS_132 | Line Missing |  | A8 |

Pavement Striping(LS)


Pavement Striping(LS)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference <br> Page |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Concrete | LS_450 | Line Width |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | A24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Pavement Markers (LS)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | GIS |
| :---: |
| Reference |
| Page |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Delineators (LS)


Delineators (LS)


Paved Ditches (PD)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Photo | GIS |
| :---: |
| Reference |
| Page |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Pavement Words and Symbols (PS)


Signs (SN)


Tree and Brush (TB)

| \# | Material <br> Type | Object <br> ID | Failure Type | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | GIS |
| :---: |
| Reference |
| Page |

This asset did not produce any failures.

Turf Condition (TF)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Turf | TF_82 | Bare Ground |  | A17 |
| 2 | Turf | TF_169 | Bare Ground |  | A25 |
| 3 | Turf | TF_172 | Bare Ground |  | A24 |
| 4 | Turf | TF_237 | Bare Ground |  | A24 |

Turf Condition (TF)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Turf | TF_240 | Bare Ground |  | A24 |
| 6 | Turf | TF_245 | Bare Ground |  | A10 |
| 7 | Turf | TF_324 | Bare Ground |  | A28 |
| 8 | Turf | TF_425 | Bare Ground |  | A22 |

Turf Condition (TF)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Turf | TF_656 | Bare Ground |  | A13 |
| 10 | Turf | TF_844 | Bare Ground |  | A35 |
| 11 | Turf | TF_1016 | Bare Ground |  | A28 |
| 12 | Turf | TF_1023 | Bare Ground |  | A28 |

Turf Condition (TF)

| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | Turf | TF_1036 | Bare Ground |  | A28 |
| 14 | Turf | TF_1044 | Bare Ground |  | A10 |
| 15 | Turf | TF_1077 | Bare Ground |  | A10 |


| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bridge Wall | WL_11 | Unsealed Cracks/Joints |  | A34 |
| 2 | Sound Wall | WL_46 | Unsealed Cracks/Joints, Vegetation |  | A19 |
| 3 | Sound Wall | WL_98 | Unsealed Cracks/Joints |  | A20 |
| 4 | Sound Wall | WL_102 | Spalling |  | A20 |


| \# | Material Type | Object ID | Failure Type | Photo | GIS <br> Reference Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Retaining Wall | WL_116 | Unsealed Joints/Cracks |  | A28 |

