




**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1. THE I-40 HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLE/CONGESTION MANAGEMENT STUDY . 1-1
  - 1.1 Transportation Objectives ..... 1-2
  - 1.2 Study Process ..... 1-2
    - 1.2.1 Phase I..... 1-3
    - 1.2.2 Phase II..... 1-4
- 2. REGIONAL GROWTH AND CONGESTION ON I-40 ..... 2-1
  - 2.1 Growth In The Triangle Region..... 2-1
    - 2.1.1 Congestion in the Triangle Region ..... 2-2
    - 2.1.2 The Impact of Congestion on Research Triangle Park..... 2-4
  - 2.2 Existing and Future Travel Demand ..... 2-4
    - 2.2.1 Regional Trip Growth..... 2-4
    - 2.2.2 I-40 Corridor Trip Growth..... 2-5
    - 2.2.3 I-40 2025 No-Build Volumes..... 2-7
    - 2.2.4 I-40 2025 No-Build Volume to Capacity Ratios ..... 2-9
    - 2.2.5 2025 Travel Speeds on I-40..... 2-10
  - 2.3 Traffic and Operational Issues..... 2-11
    - 2.3.1 Phase I – Planning Level Capacity Analysis..... 2-11
    - 2.3.2 Phase II – Operational Analysis..... 2-14
    - 2.3.3 FREEVAL Capacity Analysis..... 2-16
    - 2.3.4 FRESIM Capacity Analysis..... 2-16
    - 2.3.5 Key Findings of Capacity Analysis..... 2-20
  - 2.4 Crash Analysis..... 2-20
    - 2.4.1 Existing Crashes..... 2-20
    - 2.4.2 Future Crashes..... 2-21
  - 2.5 Overall Key Findings..... 2-22
- 3. PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT ..... 3-1
  - 3.1 Review Committees ..... 3-1
    - 3.1.1 Study Participation..... 3-1
    - 3.1.2 Technical Committee..... 3-1
    - 3.1.3 Policy Committee ..... 3-2
    - 3.1.4 Stakeholders Group..... 3-2
  - 3.2 Triangle Regional Initiatives ..... 3-3
  - 3.3 Attitudinal Survey..... 3-5
  - 3.4 Community Outreach ..... 3-6
    - 3.4.1 Objectives..... 3-6
    - 3.4.2 Public Involvement Activities ..... 3-6



# I-40 High Occupancy Vehicle / Congestion Management Study




FINAL REPORT

- 4. STRATEGIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 4-1
  - 4.1 Traditional Capacity Improvements..... 4-4
  - 4.2 Express Lanes..... 4-5
  - 4.3 Regional Transit Systems..... 4-6
    - 4.3.1 The Need for Regional Transit..... 4-6
    - 4.3.2 The Regional Transit Plan..... 4-6
  - 4.4 Freeway Management/Intelligent Transportation System..... 4-11
    - 4.4.1 ITS Techniques..... 4-11
    - 4.4.2 Planned Upgrades to ITS Infrastructure..... 4-13
    - 4.4.3 Potential ITS Improvements in Conjunction with HOV Lanes..... 4-13
    - 4.4.4 Key Findings..... 4-14
  - 4.5 Ramp Metering..... 4-15
    - 4.5.1 Considerations in Applying Ramp Metering..... 4-15
    - 4.5.2 Potential Benefits..... 4-16
    - 4.5.3 Key Findings..... 4-16
  - 4.6 Transportation Systems Management (TSM)..... 4-17
    - 4.6.1 Considerations in Applying TSM..... 4-18
    - 4.6.2 The Bottleneck Study..... 4-18
  - 4.7 Travel Demand Management (TDM)..... 4-19
    - 4.7.1 Existing TDM Programs in the Triangle Region..... 4-20
    - 4.7.2 Relationship of TDM to HOV Lanes and I-40 Congestion..... 4-22
    - 4.7.3 Key Findings..... 4-23
- 5. HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLE (HOV) FACILITIES..... 5-1
  - 5.1 Types of HOV Lanes..... 5-2
    - 5.1.1 Concurrent Flow HOV Facilities..... 5-2
    - 5.1.2 Two-Way Barrier Separated..... 5-2
    - 5.1.3 Reversible HOV Facilities..... 5-3
  - 5.2 Feasibility Criteria For HOV Lanes..... 5-3
    - 5.2.1 Determining Ultimate HOV Network (Phase I)..... 5-4
    - 5.2.2 Determining Feasible HOV Network (Phase II)..... 5-4
    - 5.2.3 HOV Traffic Projections – 2005, 2015, 2025..... 5-5
  - 5.3 HOV Phasing Analysis..... 5-6
  - 5.4 Key Findings..... 5-11
- 6. HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLE (HOV) TECHNICAL ANALYSIS..... 6-1
  - 6.1 Analysis Assumptions..... 6-1
    - 6.1.1 HOV Roadway Configurations..... 6-1
    - 6.1.2 Sections of Roadway..... 6-2
    - 6.1.3 Typical Sections and Design Criteria..... 6-4
    - 6.1.4 Travel Demand Modeling..... 6-5



# I-40 High Occupancy Vehicle / Congestion Management Study



FINAL REPORT

- 6.1.5 Traffic Operations Analysis..... 6-6
- 6.1.6 Environmental Screening..... 6-7
- 6.1.7 Roadway Design and Cost Estimate..... 6-7
- 6.2 Simple Configuration..... 6-9
  - 6.2.1 Typical Sections..... 6-9
  - 6.2.2 Travel Demand..... 6-13
  - 6.2.3 Traffic and Operations..... 6-20
  - 6.2.4 Environmental Screening..... 6-26
  - 6.2.5 Roadway Design and Cost Estimate..... 6-27
- 6.3 Complex Configuration..... 6-30
  - 6.3.1 Typical Sections..... 6-30
  - 6.3.2 Travel Demand..... 6-34
  - 6.3.3 Traffic and Operations..... 6-45
  - 6.3.4 Environmental Screening..... 6-50
  - 6.3.5 Roadway Design and Cost Estimate..... 6-51
- 6.4 Modified Complex Configuration..... 6-54
  - 6.4.1 Typical Sections..... 6-54
  - 6.4.2 Travel Demand..... 6-58
  - 6.4.3 Traffic and Operations..... 6-66
  - 6.4.4 Environmental Screening..... 6-71
  - 6.4.5 Roadway Design and Cost Estimate..... 6-72
- 6.5 Elevated Configuration..... 6-74
  - 6.5.1 Typical Sections..... 6-74
  - 6.5.2 Travel Demand..... 6-79
  - 6.5.3 Traffic and Operations..... 6-87
  - 6.5.4 Environmental Screening..... 6-92
  - 6.5.5 Roadway Design and Cost Estimate..... 6-92
- 7. SUMMARY OF THE I-40 HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLE/CONGESTION MANAGEMENT STUDY..... 7-1
  - 7.1 Congestion Management Strategies..... 7-1
  - 7.2 Phasing of HOV Facilities..... 7-1
  - 7.3 Comparison of HOV Configurations..... 7-3
    - 7.3.1 Travel Demand Modeling..... 7-4
    - 7.3.2 Traffic and Operations..... 7-7
    - 7.3.3 Environmental Screening..... 7-11
    - 7.3.4 Construction and Costs..... 7-12
  - 7.4 Combining Configurations..... 7-14
- 8. FINDINGS AND NEXT STEPS..... 8-1



**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1 ..... Triangle Region Study Area..... 1-1

Figure 2-1 Population Growth in the Triangle Region..... 2-1

Figure 2-2 Growth in Population and VMT in the Triangle Region..... 2-3

Figure 2-3 Existing Mode Split on I-40 in the Triangle Region..... 2-3

Figure 2-4 2025 Analysis Links by Type..... 2-6

Figure 2-5 Growth in Daily Vehicle Trips on I-40 Mainline and Ramps -  
1995 to 2025..... 2-7

Figure 2-6 1995 AM Peak Hour Volume/Capacity Ratios on I-40..... 2-9

Figure 2-7 2025 AM Peak Hour Volume/Capacity Ratios on I-40..... 2-10

Figure 2-8 FREEVAL and FRESIM Model Calibration to Existing Travel Times..... 2-14

Figure 2-9 Illustration of FRESIM Animation Model for I-40 Corridor..... 2-15

Figure 2-10 FREEVAL 2025 No-Build AM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results..... 2-18

Figure 2-11 FREEVAL 2025 No-Build PM Peak Hour Traffic Analysis Results..... 2-19

Figure 4-1 TTA Regional Rail System DEIS 2025 Transit Network..... 4-7

Figure 4-2 2025 HOV Corridors with High Regional Bus Volumes..... 4-10

Figure 5-1 Demand for HOV Facilities in 2005..... 5-7

Figure 5-2 Demand for HOV Facilities in 2015..... 5-8

Figure 5-3 Demand for HOV Facilities in 2025..... 5-8

Figure 6-1 HOV Corridor Segments and Names..... 6-3

Figure 6-2 Existing and Simple Configuration Typical Sections Between  
NC 86 and NC 147..... 6-10

Figure 6-3 Existing and Simple Configuration Typical Sections Between  
NC 147 and Wade Avenue..... 6-11

Figure 6-4 Existing and Simple Configuration Typical Sections Between Wade  
Avenue and US 1/US 64..... 6-12

Figure 6-5 Freeway Lane-Miles Traveled by Level of Service..... 6-13

Figure 6-6 Comparison of Simple Configuration Vehicle Miles Traveled  
(AM Peak)..... 6-14

Figure 6-7 Comparison of Simple Configuration Hours of Delay (AM Peak)..... 6-14

Figure 6-8 Comparison of Simple Configuration Average Speed (AM Peak)..... 6-14

Figure 6-9 Volume Shifts on Local Roads for Simple Configuration..... 6-16

Figure 6-10 AM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Simple Configuration..... 6-22

Figure 6-11 PM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Simple Configuration..... 6-23

Figure 6-12 Existing and Complex Configuration Typical Sections  
Between NC 86 and NC 147..... 6-31

Figure 6-13 Existing and Complex Configuration Typical Sections Between  
NC 147 and Airport Boulevard..... 6-32

Figure 6-14 Existing and Complex Configuration Typical Sections  
Between Wade Avenue and US 1/US 64..... 6-33

Figure 6-15 Freeway Lane-Miles Traveled by Level of Service..... 6-34

Figure 6-16 Comparison of Complex Configuration Vehicle Miles Traveled  
(AM Peak)..... 6-36

Figure 6-17 Comparison of Complex Configuration Hours of Delay  
(AM Peak)..... 6-36

Figure 6-18 Comparison of Complex Configuration Average Speed  
(AM Peak)..... 6-36



# I-40 High Occupancy Vehicle / Congestion Management Study



FINAL REPORT

Figure 6-19 Volume Shifts on Local Roads for the Complex Configuration..... 6-37

Figure 6-20 AM Peak Hour HOV Ramp Volumes – Complex Configuration..... 6-43

Figure 6-21 AM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Complex Configuration..... 6-47

Figure 6-22 PM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Complex Configuration..... 6-48

Figure 6-23 Existing and Modified Complex Configuration Typical Sections Between NC 86 and NC 147..... 6-55

Figure 6-24 Existing and Modified Complex Configuration Typical Sections Between NC 147 and Wade Avenue..... 6-56

Figure 6-25 Existing and Modified Complex Configuration Typical Sections Between Wade Avenue and US 1/US 64..... 6-57

Figure 6-26 Freeway Lane-Miles Traveled by Level of Service..... 6-58

Figure 6-27 Comparison of Modified Complex Configuration Vehicle Miles Traveled (AM Peak)..... 6-60

Figure 6-28 Comparison of Modified Complex Configuration Hours of Delay (AM Peak)..... 6-60

Figure 6-29 Comparison of Modified Complex Configuration Average Speed (AM Peak)..... 6-60

Figure 6-30 AM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Modified Complex Configuration..... 6-68

Figure 6-31 PM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Modified Complex Configuration..... 6-69

Figure 6-32 Existing and Elevated Configuration Typical Sections Between NC 86 and NC 54..... 6-75

Figure 6-33 Existing and Elevated Configuration Typical Sections Between NC 54 and NC 147..... 6-76

Figure 6-34 Existing and Elevated Configuration Typical Sections Between NC 147 and Wade Avenue..... 6-77

Figure 6-35 Existing and Elevated Configuration Typical Sections Between Wade Avenue and US 1/ US 64..... 6-78

Figure 6-36 Freeway Lane-Miles Traveled by Level of Service..... 6-79

Figure 6-37 Comparison of Elevated Configuration Vehicle Miles Traveled (AM Peak)..... 6-81

Figure 6-38 Comparison of Elevated Configuration Hours of Delay (AM Peak)..... 6-81

Figure 6-39 Comparison of Elevated Configuration Average Speed (AM Peak)..... 6-81

Figure 6-40 AM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Elevated Configuration..... 6-89

Figure 6-41 PM Peak Hour V/C Ratios – Elevated Configuration..... 6-90

Figure 7-1 Comparison of Unit Delay..... 7-10

Figure 7-2 Comparison of Total Corridor Delay..... 7-10



**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2-1 Regional Growth in Vehicular Daily Trips – 1995 to 2025..... 2-5

Table 2-2 Growth in Regional Daily Person Trips and Transit Use – 1995 to 2025..... 2-5

Table 2-3 Growth Rates for Daily Vehicle Trips in the I-40 Corridor by Type – 1995 to 2025..... 2-6

Table 2-4 2025 Forecasted AM Peak Hour Vehicles and HOV Share on I-40..... 2-8

Table 2-5 AM Peak Period Travel Times and Speeds- I-40 Toward RTP..... 2-10

Table 2-6 Level of Service Descriptions for a Freeway..... 2-11

Table 2-7 Existing Peak Hour Level of Service Analysis..... 2-13

Table 2-8 Future Levels of Service for Segments on the I-40 Study Corridor..... 2-13

Table 2-9 2025 No-Build AM Peak Hour Volume and LOS..... 2-17

Table 2-10 2025 No-Build PM Peak Hour Volume and LOS..... 2-17

Table 2-11 Crash Rate Summary by Segment..... 2-21

Table 4-1 Summary of Strategies Recommended for Study in Phase II..... 4-2

Table 4-2 Summary of Strategies Not Recommended for Study in Phase II..... 4-4

Table 4-3 Planned Growth in Lane-Miles for the Triangle Regional Model..... 4-5

Table 4-4 Ramp Metering Impacts..... 4-16

Table 4-5 General TSM Solutions..... 4-17

Table 5-1 Projected I-40 HOV Volumes for 2005, 2015, and 2025 AM Peak Hour... 5-6

Table 5-2 Phase II I-40 and I-540 HOV Demand Analysis..... 5-7

Table 6-1 Design Criteria..... 6-4

Table 6-2 Vehicles on I-40 by Link and % HOV -- Simple Configuration..... 6-17

Table 6-3 Number of People Moved on I-40 - Simple Configuration..... 6-19

Table 6-4 Simple Configuration LOS Analysis Results (FREEVAL)..... 6-21

Table 6-5 Travel Time Savings in the HOV Lanes..... 6-24

Table 6-6 Simple Configuration Operations on I-40..... 6-26

Table 6-7 I-40 HOV Improvements Impact Study..... 6-27

Table 6-8 Bridge Adjustments for Simple HOV Configuration..... 6-28

Table 6-9 Simple Configuration Estimated Cost..... 6-29

Table 6-10 Vehicles on I-40 by Segment and % HOV – Complex Configuration..... 6-39

Table 6-11 Number of People Moved on I-40 Complex Configuration..... 6-41

Table 6-12 Complex HOV Ramp Volumes..... 6-42

Table 6-13 Complex Configuration LOS Analysis Results (FREEVAL)..... 6-46

Table 6-14 Travel Time Savings in the HOV Lanes..... 6-49

Table 6-15 Complex Configuration Operations on I-40..... 6-50

Table 6-16 Environmental Screening for the Complex Configuration..... 6-51

Table 6-17 Bridge Adjustments for Complex HOV Configuration..... 6-52

Table 6-18 Complex Configuration Estimated Cost..... 6-53

Table 6-19 Vehicles on I-40 by Segment and % HOV – Modified Complex Configuration..... 6-62

Table 6-20 Number of People Moved on I-40 – Modified Complex Configuration..... 6-64



# I-40 High Occupancy Vehicle / Congestion Management Study



FINAL REPORT

Table 6-21	Modified Complex HOV Ramp Volumes.....	6-65
Table 6-22	Modified Complex LOS Analysis Results (FREEVAL).....	6-67
Table 6-23	Travel Time Savings in HOV Lanes.....	6-70
Table 6-24	Modified Complex Delay Analysis Results.....	6-71
Table 6-25	Bridge Adjustments for Modified Complex Configuration.....	6-72
Table 6-26	Modified Complex Configuration Estimated Cost.....	6-73
Table 6-27	Vehicles on I-40 by Segment and % HOV -- Elevated Configuration.....	6-83
Table 6-28	Number of People Moved on I-40 -- Elevated Configuration.....	6-85
Table 6-29	Elevated HOV Ramp Volumes.....	6-86
Table 6-30	Elevated Configuration LOS Analysis Results (FREEVAL).....	6-88
Table 6-31	Travel Time Savings in HOV Lanes.....	6-91
Table 6-32	Elevated Configuration Delay Analysis Results.....	6-92
Table 6-33	Elevated Configuration Estimated Cost.....	6-93
Table 7-1	Projected HOV Demand Comparison.....	7-2
Table 7-2	Lane Geometrics for HOV Configurations.....	7-4
Table 7-3	Number of Access Points.....	7-5
Table 7-4	Comparison of Demand Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs).....	7-6
Table 7-5	2025 Traffic Operation Level of Service.....	7-8
Table 7-6	2025 Travel Time Advantage of HOV Lanes over GP lanes for RTP Commuters (Minutes).....	7-9
Table 7-7	Environmental Impact Comparison.....	7-12
Table 7-8	Typical Section Comparison.....	7-13
Table 7-9	Bridge Requirements Comparison.....	7-13
Table 7-10	Cost Estimates Comparison.....	7-14